

Presentation Abstract		Add to Itinerary
		Print
Program#/Poster#:	294.20/B23	
Presentation Title:	Control of spatially patterned gene expression in dendrites	
Location:	Hall A	
Presentation time:	Monday, Oct 19, 2015, 8:00 AM -12:00 PM	
Presenter at Poster:	Mon, Oct. 19, 2015, 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM	
Topic:	++B.10.c. Dendritic excitability and synaptic integration	
Authors:	*A. H. WILLIAMS <sup>1</sup> , C. O'DONNELL2, MARDER3, T. O'LEARY3;	T. SEJNOWSKI2, E.
	1UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA; 2Salk Inst. for Biol. Studies,	
	La Jolla, CA; 3Brandeis Univ., Walthan	n, MA
Abstract:	The subcellular spatial distribution of synaptic plasticity-related proteins is nonuniform, and critical for determining properties of neurons. How neurons conserved expression patterns is unknown, but it involve microtubular-based transport. The mathematical analysis and numerical show how transport can support these complex neuron morphologies. While	dynamic, ng the electrical ontrol these s believed to Using simulations, we e phenomena in

derive are general to any cell type, we examine CA1 pyramidal morphology as a case study, specifically examining: 1) HCN and K ion channel gradients in dendrites and 2) spatial expression of plasticity-related proteins such as Arc. We compare two non-exclusive models for achieving spatial expression: local versus global control. For the local-control class, we derive simple rules that relate the local transport rate along neurites to the global steady-state distribution of cargo, and illustrate how this rule can be encoded by a secondmessenger molecule, such as Ca2+. We identify two strategies that precisely achieve any desired expression profile. The first distributes cargo uniformly along the microtubules, and locally captures cargo in proportion to the target expression level. The second distributes cargo non-uniformly to match the target expression pattern and locally releases cargo at a uniform rate. There is experimental evidence for both of these strategies [1,2]. We also considered a second class of models based on global control, in which the steady-state distribution of cargo along the microtubules is not achieved. In this case, the distribution of cargo might be controlled by tuning the microtubular transport kinetics to match the rate of local release/capture of cargo. A major difference between these two classes of models is that the first can be locally tuned, while the second must be engineered from a global level. We suggest that local mechanisms might be useful to produce flexible trafficking, while global mechanisms provide efficient solutions for coarse, rapid transport. We highlight experiments that might disambiguate between these two cases. References: [1] Kim S, Martin KC (2015). eLife. 4:e04158 [2] Steward O, Farris S, Pirbhoy PS, Darnell J, Van Driesche SJ (2015). Front Mol Neurosci. 7:101

Disclosures:	A.H. Williams: None. C. O'Donnell: None. T. Sejnowski: None. E. Marder: None. T. O'Leary: None.
Keyword (s):	DENDRITE
	TRANSPORT
	PLASTICITY

Support:	NIH Grant 1P01NS079419
	Charles A. King Trust
	NIH Grant P41GM103712
	Dept of Energy CSGF

**Note:** When adding items to your Itinerary, please click "Add Checked Selections to My Itinerary" on <u>EACH</u> page of your search results.

At the Meeting

Sessions/Events

**Abstracts** 

Registration

Hotel/Travel

**Exhibits** 

Fellowships, Awards, and Prizes

Frequently Asked Questions

CME

Access the SFN Member Center

OASIS Technical Support.

Monday - Friday, 9 am - 5 pm CT

Phone: 1-217-398-1792

Email: OASIS Helpdesk

Leave OASIS Feedback



The Online
Abstract
Submission and
Invitation
System
© 1996 - 2015
Coe-Truman
Technologies,
Inc. All rights
reserved.

